UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,824	04/24/2006	Jialin Wu	CPALP003	7398	
	7590 11/30/200 Villeneuve & Sampson		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025	0	DESAI, RITA J			
OAKLAND, CA	DAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 ART UNIT PAI		PAPER NUMBER		
			1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/559,824	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rita J. Desai	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comr (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the m	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-11,13-24,26-38,40-54,57-64,67-	.70.83 and 84 islare pending in th	e application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		е аррисацоп.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ement				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	resultation and/or discusor requir	omone.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National St	age			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-5,7-11,13-24,26-38,40-54,57-64,67-79,83 and 84.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, 7-11, 13-24, 26-38, 40-54, 57-64, 67-69 and 76, drawn to compounds and compositions of the formula I wherein R5 is a H, R2 is a COOH or C=OOalkyl, acylamino, R1, R3 and R4 are either a H or an alkyl with atleast one of them being an alkyl.

Group II, claim(s) 1-5, 7-11, 13-24, 26-38, 40-54, 57-64, 67-69 and 76, drawn to compounds and composition of formula I wherein R5 is a H and R2 is R2 is a COOH or C=OOalkyl, acylamino and R1, R3 and R4 are either an H or a phenyl or an alkylphenyl, with atleast one of them being a phenyl or an alkylphenyl.

Group III, claim(s) 1-5, 7-11, 13-24, 26-38, 40-54, 57-64, 67-69 and 76 drawn to compounds and composition of formula I wherein R5 is H and R2 is H, an R1, R3 and R4 are either an H or a phenyl or an alkylphenyl or alkyl. A further election of a single disclosed species is required.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-5, 7-11, 13-24, 26-38, 40-54, 57-64, 67-69 and 76 drawn to compounds that would fall within formula I not encompassed by groups I-III. A further election of a single disclosed species is required. May be subject to further restriction.

Group V, claim(s) 75, drawn to compound of the formula 53a-55a as given in the claim.

Group VI, claim(s) 70drawn to a process of making limited to the scope of one of the groups above.

Group VII, claim(s) 71, drawn to a process of making, limited to the scope of one of the compound groups I_V above.

Group VIII, claim(s) 72, drawn to a process of making, limited to the scope of one of the compounds groups I-V as given above.

Art Unit: 1625

Group IX, claim(s) 73, drawn to a process of making, limited to the scope of one of the compounds groups I-V as given above..

Group X, claim(s) 74, drawn to a process of making, limited to the scope of one of the compound groups I-V as given above.

Group XI, claim(s) 77-79, 83 and 84, drawn to Use claims Examiner has interpreted them as method of treating cancer using the compounds of one of groups I-V.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- (f) Markush Practice. The situation involving the so-called Markush practice wherein a single claim defines alternatives (chemical or non-chemical) is also governed by Rule 13.2. In this special situation, the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in Rule 13.2, shall be considered to be met when the alternatives are of a similar nature.
- (i) When the Markush grouping is for alternatives of chemical compounds, they shall be regarded as being of a similar nature where the following criteria are fulfilled:
 - (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and
- (B)(1) a common structure is present, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives, or
- (B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.
- (ii) In paragraph (f)(i)(B)(1), above, the words significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives refer to cases where the compounds share a common chemical structure which occupies a large portion of their structures, or in case the compounds have in common only a small portion of their structures, the commonly shared structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion in view of the existing prior art. The structural element may be a single component or a combination of individual components linked to-gether.

The different R1-R5 substituents have so many variables with the heterocyclic and non-hetero groupings, they have different bonding and properties, and have achieved a different status in the art, and is burdensome to search and hence are objected to as being drawn to an improper Markush group on the grounds of lack of a common nucleus. The terms R1-R5 are so broad in scope that a prior art reference anticipating the claims with respect to one member under 35 USC 102(b) would not render obvious the same claims under 35 USC 103a with respect to another member.

See Junko Ishida et al 1999, 2001; US 4628057, CN 1215333 1999 cited in the IDS. Applicants compounds core is not novel and a contribution over the prior art. Thus lack of Unity is proper.

Art Unit: 1625

An attempt was made to call James Austin on 11/20/09, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully Application/Control Number: 10/559,824

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, flex time..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,824 Page 6

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

November 20^{th} , 2009.